

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,353	01/23/2004	Marc Huard	0579-1031	4984	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 05/23/200 OMPSON	7	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23 2ND FLOOR	RD STREET	T HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1722		
		·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		. 05/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/762,353	HUARD, MARC	1	
Office Act	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tim Heitbrink	1722		
The MAILING E Period for Reply	PATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the se	IGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period w at or extended period for reply will, by statute, ffice later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is Fl 3) ☐ Since this applie	cation is in condition for allowan	ebruary 2007. action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro ax parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s)	s/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification	n is objected to by the Examiner		•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
_		on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				
a) All b) Sor 1. Certified c 2. Certified c 3. Copies of application	me * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents f the certified copies of the priori on from the International Bureau	have been received in Application to the have been received ity documents have been received	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cite Notice of Draftsperson's F Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/762,353

Art Unit: 1722

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10,13,15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kazmer et al. (US Patent 6,287,107).

Kazmer et al. disclose a needle valve including a body 15, 87 and a needle 45 mounted in the body so that it is mobile between a fully open position and a closure position and a range of intermediate positions (see column 10, lines 17-22). The needle is positioned in an L-shaped passage 19 and has a conical tip 95 having an angle between 30 and 60 degrees. See Figs. 5 and 6 where the tip 95 engages a constriction to stop the flow of material.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazmer et al. as applied to claims 1-10, 13, 15-17 above, and further in view of Bierman et al. (US Patent 7,114,934).

While Kazmer et al. does not disclose coating the surface of the runner passage with Teflon, Bierman et al. teaches Teflon used to prevent a resin from sticking to a surface to be conventional.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,353

Art Unit: 1722

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Teflon to the resin passage 19 of Kazmer et al. to prevent a resin from sticking to the surface as suggested by Bierman.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazmer et al. as applied to claims 1-10,13,15-17 above, and further in view of Fukuhara et al.(US Patent 6328316).

While Kazmer et al. discloses a seal 36 positioned between the body 15 and the passage 19, it is not made from an EPDM elastomer.

Fukuhara et al. disclose such an elastomer to be conventional in the production of O-rings. See column 4, line 6.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the O-ring 36 of Kazmer et al. of an EPDM elastomer as suggested by Fukuhara et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tim Heitbrink Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1722

5-21-07

twh